

From: [OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK](#)
To: [Linford, Tera](#)
Subject: FW: Proposed amended GR 23
Date: Monday, February 28, 2022 8:12:49 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

From: Shannon Bryant [mailto:shanbry@outlook.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 27, 2022 12:45 PM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: Proposed amended GR 23

External Email Warning! This email has originated from outside of the Washington State Courts Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

Dear Clerk,

I support the proposed amended GR 23 because allowing more professional guardians on the Certified Professional Guardian Board would increase Board expertise; and because making meetings public will promote transparency and public confidence in the Board. While I completely support transparency and oversight, having such a small amount of CPG's on the board make it impossible for the board to understand the actual work done by a CPG.

As a whole, we want CPG's to be held to high standards and to be removed if we do not uphold our duties. Unfortunately, there is no way for a person who has not done the work, to completely understand what an ethical offense is without having the framework of what the duties look like in the real-world environment. The goal is not to sweep anything under the rug but to judge actions based on the context of the work. We must obey the laws and yet there are tons of actions that may be considered legal but not reasonable. Another CPG would be able to look at the situation and recognize what is reasonable in the context of the work. It is relatively easy to judge if only based on the law, but a lot of the grievances fall under a vague accusation that should be fully vetted to understand if it rises to a punishable offense. I think you would find we hold one another to an even higher standard than a non CPG would.

Wash. Boards and Regulated Professions	Total Board Members	Regulated Professionals on Board	Percentage of Regulated Professionals on Board
Engineers and Land Surveyors	7	7	100%
Veterinary	6	5	83%
Massage Therapist	5	4	80%
Occupational Therapist	5	4	80%
Physical Therapist	6	5	80%
Chiropractors	14	11	79%
Psychologist	9	7	78%
WSBA Disciplinary Board	14	10	71%
Medical Quality Assurance (Physicians)	21	17	80%
Pharmacist	15	11	71%
Accountancy	9	6	67%
Collection Agents	4	2	50%
Certified Professional Guardians	13	3	23%
Judicial Conduct Commission	11	0	0%

Thank you,

Shannon Bryant, CPG